Case 3:15-cr-00381-SI Document 5 Filed 08/05/15 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

| United States of America, | Case No. CR $15-38(5)$ |
|---|--|
| Plaintiff, v. | STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT |
| | FILED |
| Deljoan Bankstu Defendant. | AUG 05 2015 |
| For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on | |
| Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i). | be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. |
| defendants, the nature of the proof or law, that it is unreasonable to expe | due to [check applicable reasons] the number of resecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ct adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial cd by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). |
| | unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| Failure to grant a continuance would | unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence. |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| DATED: <u>Jun</u> 5, 205 | JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Chief Magistrate Judge |
| STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant | Assistant United States Attorney |